

Photochemical Studies in Flash Photolysis. II. Photolysis of Acetone with Filtered Light*

N. SLAGG† AND R. A. MARCUS‡

Department of Physical Chemistry, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, Brooklyn, New York

(Received August 8, 1960)

Flash photolysis was studied in the absence of wavelengths below 200 $m\mu$. Effects of acetone pressure, light intensity, added biacetyl, temperature, and wavelength were investigated. The results are consistent with primary acts postulated previously on the basis of low-intensity studies, but with the absence of complicating first-order secondary reactions at these high radical concentrations. Deactivation of excited molecules explains the pressure effect on the C_2H_5/CO ratio, for wall effects are absent under flash conditions. A hot radical mechanism is suggested by the data for methane formation. The effect of wavelength on C_2H_5/CO ratio in regions centered near 260, 280, and 295 $m\mu$ is rather striking, and the results are compared with trends in low-intensity studies in the same pressure region.

INTRODUCTION

THE photolysis of acetone has been extensively investigated at low light intensities,^{1,2} and several studies³⁻⁵ have been made at extremely high intensities under flash conditions. Khan, Norrish, and Porter³ flash-photolyzed acetone in the presence of a large amount of inert gas (carbon dioxide) using unfiltered light and found a C_2H_5/CO ratio of unity. Oster and Marcus⁴ also used unfiltered light and observed ratios of about 1.25 in the absence of, but unity in the presence of, excess carbon dioxide. They observed that traces of biacetyl had the same effect and found, too, from the pressure dependence of the CO quantum yield, that light below 200 $m\mu$ was contributing effectively to their photolysis and, it may be presumed, to that of Khan *et al.*

In the present work these wavelengths are removed and the effects of pressure, intensity, temperature, added biacetyl, and wavelength are described. Where feasible, these data are compared with those obtained at 280 $m\mu$ by Roebber, Rollefson, and Pimentel,⁵ who used a monochromatic (magnesium spark) source. The latter authors' results showed one major apparent difference from low intensity ones—an increased quantum yield of CO with increased pressure. At low intensities little or no such effect has been found.⁶⁻⁸

* Abstracted from the doctoral dissertation of Norman Slagg, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, June, 1960. (Available on microfilm from University Microfilms, Ann Arbor, Michigan.) Presented in part at the 132nd Meeting of the American Chemical Society, New York City, September, 1957.

† Present Address, Thiokol Corporation, Denville, New Jersey.

‡ Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellow.

¹ Cf. review by E. W. R. Steacie, *Atomic and Free Radical Reactions* (Reinhold Publishing Corporation, New York, 1954).

² Cf. review by W. A. Noyes, G. B. Porter, and J. E. Jolley, *Chem. Revs.* **56**, 49 (1956).

³ M. A. Khan, R. G. W. Norrish, and G. Porter, *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)* **A219**, 312 (1953).

⁴ G. K. Oster and R. A. Marcus, *J. Chem. Phys.* **27**, 472 (1957) (Part I of this series); cf. R. A. Marcus, *Can. J. Chem.* **36**, 102 (1958), which summarizes several of the results reported in the present paper.

⁵ J. L. Roebber, G. K. Rollefson, and G. C. Pimentel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **80**, 255 (1958).

⁶ D. S. Herr and W. A. Noyes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **62**, 2052 (1940).

⁷ D. E. Hoare, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **53**, 791 (1957).

⁸ J. Heicklen and W. A. Noyes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **81**, 3858 (1959).

EXPERIMENTAL

Apparatus, Materials, and Analysis

The flash apparatus was described previously,⁹ the flashing voltage here being 8000 v. A cylindrical quartz vessel was used, 2.3 cm i.d. and 20 cm long, closed at one end and attached to the vacuum system by a graded seal at the other. The exploding wire⁴ was 32 cm long, placed parallel to the cylindrical vessel and, except where specified in Table I, 2.15 cm distant from the latter's axis. In several experiments, so indicated in Table I, a 7910 Vycor vessel, with an i.d. of 2.6 cm and a length of 20 cm, was used instead. The wire length employed was then 22 cm. Throughout, the analytical apparatus, procedures, and purification of acetone and biacetyl were the same as in Part I.

Filters

To effectively eliminate light of wavelengths of 200 $m\mu$ and less when the quartz reaction vessel was used, the vessel was surrounded with a single layer of du Pont cellophane 450-PD. The vessel plus the cellophane was enclosed in a closefitting protective concentric quartz tube of 2-mm wall thickness. The effectiveness of the cellophane was established from results with two layers of cellophane, which showed essentially no further change in ratios of reaction products. Using the Vycor vessel, which cuts off wavelengths below 220 $m\mu$, rather similar product ratios were obtained. To obtain wavelengths centered near 260 $m\mu$, 280 $m\mu$, and 295 $m\mu$, a suitable concentration of 1,4-diphenylbutadiene in ethanol, one of CS_2 in CCl_4 , and a single layer of 88-CA-48 du Pont cellophane, respectively, were used. Transmission curves for these filters are shown in Fig. 1. After the cellophane transmission is multiplied by the extinction coefficient of acetone in this weakly absorbing spectral region, the cellophane is seen, in effect, to provide a wavelength band of about 25 $m\mu$, centered near 295 $m\mu$. The filters were placed in a cylindrical Vycor jacket, 5 cm i.d., and concentric with the 3 cm o.d. Vycor reaction vessel.

⁹ G. K. Oster and R. A. Marcus, *J. Chem. Phys.* **27**, 188 (1957).

TABLE I. Flash photolysis of acetone with filtered light.

a. Effect of acetone pressure						
Exptl	p_{acet} (mm)	No. of flashes	CO/flash (μ moles)	$\text{C}_2\text{H}_6/\text{CO}$	CH_4/CO	$\text{CO}/p_{\text{acet}}$ $\times 10^3$
100 ^a	243	3	0.51	1.21	0.10	2.1
97 ^a	150	3	0.37	1.49	0.10	2.3
73 ^a	100	3	0.24	1.66	0.11	2.4
56	160	2	0.46	1.31	0.11	2.8
48	100	2	0.30	1.62	0.10	3.0
49	100	2	0.28	1.65	0.11	2.8
57	50	4	0.16	1.61	0.12	3.2
37	25	10	0.085	1.83	0.11	3.4
39	9.1	25	0.026	2.26	0.11	2.9
59	6.7	30	0.021	2.32	0.12	3.1
b. Effect of incident intensity, I_0 , at 100 mm acetone ^b						
Exptl.	Wire distance (cm)	No. of flashes	CO/flash (μ moles)	$\text{C}_2\text{H}_6/\text{CO}$	CH_4/CO	
48	2.15	2	0.30	1.62	0.10	
49	2.15	2	0.28	1.65	0.11	
41	5.75	7	0.13	1.52	0.12	
34	10.25	15	0.073	1.64	0.09	
42	18.25	20	0.035	...	0.11	
43	18.25	20	0.036	1.67	0.11	
44	28.25	25	0.020	1.63	0.11	
c. Effect of temperature at 100 mm acetone						
Exptl.	T ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	No. of flashes	CO/flash (μ moles)	$\text{C}_2\text{H}_6/\text{CO}$	CH_4/CO	
114	26	4	0.15	1.64	0.11	
116	25	4	0.13	1.62	0.12	
113	90	4	0.15	1.77	0.10	
115	90	4	0.14	1.81	0.11	
141 ^c	185	1	0.68	1.86	0.09	
140 ^c	26	1	0.78	1.70	0.12	
d. Effect of added biacetyl at 100 mm acetone						
Exptl.	Biacetyl (mm)	No. of flashes	CO/flash (μ moles)	$\text{C}_2\text{H}_6/\text{CO}$	CH_4/CO	
49	...	2	0.28	1.65	0.11	
77	0.28	2	0.30	1.64	0.10	
78	0.54	3	0.28	1.58	0.09	
11 ^d	...	1	1.13	1.28	0.11	
102 ^d	0.58	1	1.37	1.03	0.07	
e. Effect of wavelength ^e						
Exptl.	λ (m μ)	Acetone (mm)	No. of flashes	CO/flash (μ moles)	$\text{C}_2\text{H}_6/\text{CO}$	CH_4/CO
153	260	100	25	0.047	2.83	0.21
163	260	100	25	0.052	2.67	0.17
154	260	200	14	0.105	1.93	0.10
136	280	100	15	0.046	2.26	0.11
137	280	100	15	0.047	2.33	0.10
162	280	200	8	0.089	1.41	0.09
138	295	100	16	0.037	1.23	0.12
147	295	100	16	0.036	1.27	0.11
166	295	200	8	0.084	1.11	0.21

^a Experiments 73-116 were performed with a new sample of cellophane 450-PD.^b The intensity is approximately inversely proportional to the distance between wire and vessel axis noted in Table Ib (cf. footnote 9). Some deviation would be expected from this "infinite length line source" law at the larger distances because of the finite length effect. For this reason, no CO/I_0 ratios were computed.^c Vycor reaction vessel, wire 2.5 cm from vessel axis.^d Quartz vessel without cellophane, 450-PD filter.^e Vycor reaction vessel, wire 3.2 cm from vessel axis.




FIG. 1. Percent transmission of various filters vs wavelength (liquids have a 1 cm path length). The transmission curve for the CS_2 solution is sensitive to impurities. (A narrower transmission band having the same maximum has been found with purer reagents.) The acetate film 88-CA-48 is 0.00088 in. thick and the cellophane 450-PD is 0.0013 in.




FIG. 2. Plot of CO vs acetone pressure, illustrating the importance of wavelengths near $190 \text{ m}\mu$ using unfiltered light.

Temperature

Almost all experiments were performed at room temperature. A temperature of about 90°C was obtained with a heated beaker of water and one of 185°C with an easily removable asbestos-covered heating coil which jacketed the vessel. At the desired temperature, determined by a thermocouple, the acetone pressure was adjusted, the heating device removed, and the wire moved quickly into place and flashed. The resultant maximum gas temperature drop before flashing, inferred from any gas pressure drop, was less than 8°C .

RESULTS

Results obtained at various acetone pressures, intensities, temperature, added biacetyl, and wavelength

are given in Table I and Fig. 2. The results may be summarized as follows:

1. When the wavelengths shorter than $200 \text{ m}\mu$ are removed, CO is directly proportional to the acetone pressure. Hence, because of the small percent light absorption in this region,¹⁰ CO is directly proportional to the absorbed light intensity. However, when wavelengths shorter than $200 \text{ m}\mu$ are not removed, the CO vs p plot in Fig. 2 does not extrapolate to the origin, a consequence of the intense acetone absorption near $190 \text{ m}\mu$. The remaining results shown here were obtained in the absence of these short wavelengths.

¹⁰ R. G. W. Norrish, H. G. Crone, and O. D. Saltmarsh, J. Chem. Soc. 1934, 1456; W. A. Noyes, A. B. F. Duncan, and W. M. Manning, J. Chem. Phys. 2, 717 (1934).

2. CO was essentially independent of temperature and of traces of added biacetyl.

3. The C_2H_6/CO ratio decreased markedly with increasing pressure. It was independent of incident light intensity, of added biacetyl (unlike the 190 $m\mu$ data⁴), and almost independent of temperature.

4. The C_2H_6/CO ratio increased with decreasing wavelength in the three regions studied (260, 280, 295 $m\mu$), in the pressure range investigated.



5. The CH_4/CO ratio was independent of all the preceding variables, except perhaps of wavelength.

6. Two experiments with equal mixtures of acetone and acetone- d_6 were performed. The ratio $(CD_4)(CH_4)/(CD_3H)(CH_3D)$ was found to be 1.08 and 1.12.¹¹

DISCUSSION


Comparison of the C_2H_6/CO ratios in Table Ia and b with the corresponding ratios at various wavelengths suggests that our major photochemical contribution is centered near 290 $m\mu$.

The independence of CO quantum yield of absorbed light intensity is in agreement with step (1), first suggested by low intensity studies, this step being followed now by radical recombinations only.



CH_3CO' denotes a hot CH_3CO whose only fate is to dissociate. At low intensities, CO is also formed from dissociation of thermally equilibrated CH_3CO radicals, a step which can readily be shown to be unimportant here because of the huge radical concentration and the resulting predominance of radical recombinations. (Similarly, reaction of thermal CH_3 's with acetone to form CH_4 can be excluded here.)

The independence of C_2H_6/CO ratio and, hence, of biacetyl/CO ratio¹² of light intensity, and the decrease in C_2H_6/CO ratio with increasing pressure are consistent with steps (1) and (2), (2a) having been established by low-intensity studies.



where M is any colliding molecule.

This trend of ratio with pressure was also found at low intensities,⁶ the study being performed at constant absorbed intensity to counteract the intensity effect on thermal reactions noted earlier but absent here. However, under low-intensity conditions wall effects can occur, and one explanation previously offered for the pressure trend was based on an increased acetone

pressure's causing a decreased diffusion of acetyl radicals to the wall. At the wall they were assumed to recombine to form biacetyl. Wall effects are absent under flash conditions, so such an explanation is not applicable here: The radical concentration is so high at flash intensities that the radical may be estimated to diffuse only about 0.01 cm at 100 mm gas pressure before it recombines.¹³ It therefore has a negligible chance of reaching the wall. Another complicating pressure effect sometimes present at low intensities but absent here involves diffusion of radicals out of the light beam, thereby decreasing their average concentration and increasing the relative importance of first-order processes.¹⁴

The independence of CH_4/CO of incident light intensity¹⁵ and acetone pressure shows that the CH_4 , too, arises either in a primary act or from one species which does not undergo competitive reactions of different orders. The first possibility, an intramolecular formation of CH_4 and CH_2CO , was eliminated by the results of our acetone-acetone- d_6 experiments, whose random mixing results established a free radical origin of the methanes. (In an intramolecular formation, only CH_4 and CD_4 would be formed.) Again, we believe that we have established that the bulk of the methane does not arise here from a disproportionation between thermally equilibrated CH_3 's and CH_3CO 's, for in that case the CH_4/CO would decrease appreciably with increasing acetone pressure, which it does not.^{6,16} Accordingly, we infer that the CH_4 arises from a hot methyl radical (or hot acetyl reacting with methyl). This mechanism is easily shown to be consistent with a $(CH_4)(CD_4)/(CH_3D)(CD_3H)$ ratio of about unity in the absence of isotopic effects. Even including isotopic effects some cancellation of the effects would be expected in this overall ratio, with a result which would approach unity.

The wavelength effect on the C_2H_6/CO ratio is striking. The ratio increases with decreasing wavelength in the pressure range studied. Data in the literature obtained in this pressure range at the higher low intensities and at two different wavelengths are summarized in Table II. The same trend appears to exist,¹⁷ though not unequivocally. The maximum intensity in

¹³ The distance, x , is $(2D\tau)^{1/2}$, and τ , the lifetime of a radical, $= 1/(kR/t)^{1/2}$ so that $x = (4D^2t/kR)^{1/4}$ where R is the biacetyl formation per unit volume, t is the flash time, 3×10^{-4} sec, and k is the recombination constant, assumed here as a first approximation to be of the order of that¹ for CH_3 radicals.

¹⁴ W. A. Noyes and L. Dorfman, *J. Chem. Phys.* **16**, 788 (1948); A. J. C. Nicholson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **73**, 3981 (1951).

¹⁵ Cf. same independence of ratio on I_0 and same value of ratio at high "low intensities," P. Ausloos and E. W. R. Steacie, *Can. J. Chem.* **33**, 47 (1955).

¹⁶ $(CH_4) \propto (C_2H_6)^{1/2}$ and $(CH_3CO) \propto (C_2H_6-CO)^{1/2}$, therefore R_{CH_4}/R_{CO} would be proportional to

$$\{(C_2H_6/CO)[(C_2H_6/CO) - 1]\}^{1/2},$$

where C_2H_6 denotes the ethane formed, etc.

¹⁷ An opposite trend at this pressure has been observed under different conditions (e.g., lower intensities). See work cited in footnote 6.

¹¹ We are greatly indebted to Professor H. I. Schiff of McGill University for these analyses.

¹² In unpublished studies in this laboratory, A. Shilman has found this ratio to equal approximately $(C_2H_6/CO - 1)$. See footnote 5.

TABLE II. Acetone photolysis at low intensities and approximately 150 mm acetone.

Authors	Relative ^a intensity	250-270 mμ C ₂ H ₆ /CO	Relative ^a intensity	300+ mμ C ₂ H ₆ /CO
Steacie and Darwent ^b	20	2.2	3	1.4
Spence and Wild ^c	10	2.4	2	1.2±0.2
	2	2.2		
Howland and Noyes ^d	3, 0.3	1.4	1	1.2

^a Rounded figures.^b E. W. R. Steacie and B. de B. Darwent, *J. Chem. Phys.* 16, 230 (1948).^c R. Spence and W. Wild, *J. Chem. Soc.* 1941, 590.^d J. J. Howland and W. A. Noyes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 66, 974 (1944).

Table II is about 10⁵ less than ours in the same wavelength range.

The present data may be compared with those obtained by Roebber *et al.*⁵ Their C₂H₆/CO ratio was intensity-dependent, as here. The C₂H₆/CO ratio de-

creased with increasing pressure, as here, and their C₂H₆/CO approximately equals ours in the 280 mμ range. A major difference, however, is that their CO quantum yield increased with increasing pressure while ours in Table Ia does not, a point which merits further investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are pleased to acknowledge the support of this research by the United States Air Force WADC and Office of Scientific Research.

Note added in proof. In subsequent work by Mr. A. Shilman in this laboratory, an extensive investigation has been made of pressure effects near 260, 280, and 300 mμ. Near 260 mμ and near 300 mμ, the CO quantum yield was pressure-independent. Near 280 mμ, it was pressure-dependent in agreement with Roebber *et al.*⁵ Thus, the difference between Roebber's results at 280 mμ and those obtained at low light intensities⁶⁻⁸ (usually at 254 and 313 mμ) appear to represent wavelength effects.